arXiv:0802.0841v3 [math.AC] 26 Apr 2009

Isomorphism classes of certain Artinian Gorenstein Algebras. *

Juan Elias † Giuseppe Valla ‡

April 26, 2009

Juan Elias
Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: `elias@ub.edu`

Giuseppe Valla
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Genova
Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy
e-mail: `valla@dima.unige.it`

Abstract

In this paper we attack the problem of the classification, up to analytic isomorphism, of Artinian Gorenstein local k-algebras with a given Hilbert Function. We solve the problem in the case the square of the maximal ideal is minimally generated by two elements and the socle degree is high enough.

1 Introduction.

A longstanding problem in Commutative Algebra is the classification of Artin algebras. We know that there exists a finite number of isomorphism classes of Artin

---

[*] 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13H10; Secondary 13H15;
Key words and Phrases: Gorenstein ideals, Artinian rings, Hilbert functions,Isomorphism classes.
[†] Partially supported by MTM2007-67493
[‡] Partially supported by MIUR under the framework of PRIN 2007

algebras of multiplicity at most 6, however, if the multiplicity is at least 7 there are examples with infinitely many isomorphism classes, see [3] and [4] and their reference lists for more results on the classification problem. The aim of this paper is to classify the family of almost stretched Gorenstein Artin algebras.

In [6] a local Artinian ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is said to be stretched if the square of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A is a principal ideal. In that paper J. Sally gave a nice structure theorem for stretched Gorenstein local rings. Other interesting properties of stretched $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals can be found in [5]. Sally's result has been considerably extended in [2], where the notion of almost stretched local rings has been introduced. A local Artinian ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is said to be **almost stretched** if the minimal number of generators of $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is two.

We know from the classical Theorem of Macaulay, concerning the possible Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras, that the Hilbert function of an almost stretched Gorenstein local ring A has the following shape $(1, h, 2, ..., 2, 1, ..., 1)$, which means

$$H_A(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0, \\ h & j = 1, \\ 2 & 2 \leq j \leq t, \\ 1 & t+1 \leq j \leq s, \end{cases}$$

for integers s and t such that $s \geq t+1 \geq 3$ and $h \geq 2$. If an algebra has this Hilbert Function we say that it is **of type** (s, t).

In [2] we gave a useful structure theorem for almost stretched Gorenstein local rings in the embedded case, namely when $A = R/I$ with $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ a regular local ring of dimension h such that $k := R/\mathfrak{n}$ is algebraically closed of characteristic 0.

The result reads as follows. Let I be an ideal of R; then $A := R/I$ is almost stretched and Gorenstein of type (s, t) if and only if there exists a minimal basis $y_1, \ldots, y_h$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ and an element $b \in R$, such that the ideal I is generated by the following $\binom{h}{2} + h - 1$ elements:

$y_i y_j$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq h, \quad (i, j) \neq (1, 2)$,
$y_j^2 - y_1^s$ with $3 \leq j \leq h$,
$y_2^2 - b y_1 y_2 - y_1^{s-t-1}$,
$y_1^t y_2$.

In this paper, we assume R to be a power series ring of dimension h over an algebraically closed field k and we fix the integers s, t such that $s \geq t+1 \geq 3$. We attack the problem of classifying, up to analytic isomorphism, the family of almost stretched Gorenstein Algebras $A = R/I$ of type (s, t). We solve the problem in the case the socle degree is large enough with respect to t, namely when $s \geq 2t$, see Theorem 4.3.

It turns out that for generic s and t we have exactly t isomorphism classes of Gorenstein almost stretched algebras. But if there exists an integer r with the properties $0 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$, then one dimensional families of non isomorphic models arise. Notice that, as a particular case, we prove the existence of a codimension two complete intersection algebra of length 10 with infinitely many isomorphism classes.

Suitable examples at the end of the paper show that the case $s \leq 2t-1$ is far away from a solution.

2 The models.

Through the paper we are assuming that the basic field k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

We will also freely use the following result which is a straightforward application of Hensel lemma.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ be an invertible formal power series with $f(0, \ldots, 0) = a_0 \neq 0$. If there exists $\alpha \in k$ such that $\alpha^j = a_0$, then there exists $g \in R$ such that $g^j = f$ and $g(0, \ldots, 0) = \alpha$.*

Let $R = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_h]]$ be the formal power series ring and $\mathfrak{n}$ its maximal ideal. Given a set of generators $\underline{y} = \{y_1, \ldots, y_h\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$, we let $\varphi_{\underline{y}}$ be the automorphism of R which is the result of substituting y_i for x_i in a power series $f(x_1, \ldots, x_h) \in R$. It is well known that given two ideals I and J in R there exists a k-algebras isomorphism

$$\alpha : R/I \to R/J$$

if and only if for some generators $\{y_1, \ldots, y_h\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ we have $I = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(J)$.

By abuse of notation, we will often say that I is isomorphic to J and we will write $I \sim J$, with the meaning that R/I is isomorphic to R/J.

As we explained above, an ideal I of R is almost stretched and Gorenstein of type (s,t) if and only if for suitable formal power series $y_1, \ldots, y_h, b \in R$ we have $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \ldots, y_h)$ and

$$I = (\underset{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq h \\ (i,j) \neq (1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{3 \leq j \leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - b y_1 y_2 - y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2).$$

If we let $a := a(x_1, \ldots, x_h)$ be the formal power series such that $a(y_1, \ldots, y_h) = b$, we get $I = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_a)$ where

$$I_a := (\underset{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq h \\ (i,j) \neq (1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3 \leq j \leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - a x_1 x_2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2).$$

Hence we can rephrase the main result in [2] as follows. An ideal I of R is almost stretched and Gorenstein of type (s,t) if and only if it is isomorphic to I_a for some $a \in R$.

This implies that, in order to get a classification of the almost stretched Gorenstein Algebras $A = R/I$ of type (s,t), we need to classify the algebras $A = R/I_a$ when a is running in R.

We will see that the order of the power series $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ plays a central role in the classification problem. Hence, first we study the case $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$.

Given the integer $p \geq 0$ and the power series $z \in R$, we introduce the ideal $I_{p,z}$ which is generated as follows:

$$I_{p,z} := (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^{p+1}x_2 - zx_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2)$$

These ideals will be crucial in the rest of the paper.

Proposition 2.2. *If* $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$, *then*

$$I_a \sim I_{t-1,1}.$$

Proof. First we remark that

$$I_{t-1,1} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^t x_2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2) = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2).$$

If $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$, we have $a = \sum_{i=2}^h b_i x_i$ with $b_i \in R$, so that

$$x_2^2 - a x_1 x_2 - x_1^{s-t+1} = x_2^2 - x_1 x_2 (\sum_{i=2}^h b_i x_i) - x_1^{s-t+1} = x_2^2(1 - b_2 x_1) - x_1 x_2 (\sum_{i=3}^h b_i x_i) - x_1^{s-t+1}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 we can find a power series $v \in R$ such that $v^2 = 1 - b_2 x_1$. Then $v \notin \mathfrak{n}$ and we have

$$I_a := (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, (x_2 v)^2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t (x_2 v)) =$$

$$= (\underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_2 v x_j}, \underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2), i\neq 2}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, (x_2 v)^2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t (x_2 v)).$$

If we consider the change of variables

$$y_j := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } j \neq 2 \\ v x_2 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases}$$

then we have $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \dots, y_h)$ and

$$I_a = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_iy_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{t-1,1}).$$

The conclusion follows. $\square$

Next we study the case $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *If $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$, then $I_a \sim I_{r,w}$, where w is a suitable invertible power series in R and r is the order of $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in $k[[x_1]]$. Further, if $s \geq 2t - 1$, we may assume $w \in k[[x_1]] \setminus (x_1)$.*

Proof. Since r is the order of $a(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in $k[[x_1]]$, we can write $a = x_1^r\eta + \sum_{j=2}^h b_j x_j$ with $b_j \in R$, $\eta \in k[[x_1]] \setminus (x_1)$. We get

$$x_2^2 - ax_1x_2 - x_1^{s-t+1} = x_2^2 - x_1x_2(x_1^r\eta + \sum_{j=2}^h b_jx_j) - x_1^{s-t+1} =$$

$$= x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2\eta - x_1x_2(\sum_{j=2}^h b_jx_j) - x_1^{s-t+1} = x_2^2(1-b_2x_1) - x_1^{r+1}x_2\eta - \sum_{j=3}^h b_jx_jx_1x_2 - x_1^{s-t+1}.$$

If we let $u := 1 - x_1b_2$, then $u \notin \mathfrak{n}$ and, by Proposition 2.1, we can find a power series $z \notin \mathfrak{n}$ such that $z^{r+1} = \eta/u$. Then we get

$$I_a = (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, ux_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2\eta - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^tx_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2z^{r+1} - \frac{(x_1)^{s-t+1}}{u}, x_1^tx_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - (x_1z)^{r+1}x_2 - \frac{(x_1z)^{s-t+1}}{uz^{s-t+1}}, x_1^tx_2).$$

By Proposition 2.1 we can find a power series $\tau \in R \setminus \mathfrak{n}$ such that $\tau^2 = z^s$; now we consider the following change of variables

$$y_j := \begin{cases} zx_1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ x_2 & \text{if } j = 2 \\ \tau x_j & \text{if } j = 3, \dots, h. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \dots, y_h)$; further $z^s(x_j^2 - x_1^s) = \tau^2x_j^2 - z^sx_1^s = y_j^2 - y_1^s$ and we can find an invertible power series $w := w(x_1, \dots, x_h)$ such that $w(y_1, \dots, y_h) = \frac{1}{uz^{s-t+1}}$. Hence we get

$$I_a = (\underset{j=3,\dots,h}{(zx_1)(\tau x_j)}, \underset{j=3,\dots,h}{x_2(\tau x_j)}, \underset{3\le i<j\le h}{(\tau x_i)(\tau x_j)}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{z^s(x_j^2 - x_1^s)}, x_2^2 - (x_1z)^{r+1}x_2 - \frac{(x_1z)^{s-t+1}}{uz^{s-t+1}}, (zx_1)^tx_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_iy_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1}y_2 - w(y_1, \dots, y_h)y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^ty_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w}).$$

This proves $I_a \sim I_{r,w}$ and the first assertion.

As for the second one, let $s \geq 2t-1$. It is clear that $wx_1^{s-t+1} = [w(x_1, 0, \ldots, 0) + \sum_{j\geq 2} c_j x_j]x_1^{s-t+1} = w(x_1, 0, \ldots, 0)x_1^{s-t+1} + \rho$ where $\rho \in (x_1x_3, \ldots, x_1x_h, x_1^{s-t+1}x_2)$. Since $s-t+1 \geq t$, we have $x_1^{s-t+1}x_2 \in (x_1^t x_2)$. By replacing w with $w(x_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ we get the conclusion. ☐

From the above result we need to study the isomophism classes of the ideals $I_{r,w}$ where r **is a non negative integer and** w **an invertible power series**.

Proposition 2.4. *If* $r \geq t-1$ *then*

$$I_{r,w} \sim I_{t-1,1}.$$

Proof. If $r \geq t-1$, then $r+1 \geq t$ and we have

$$I_{r,w} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2).$$

Let $v^2 = \frac{1}{w}$, so that $v \notin \mathfrak{n}$ and

$$I_{r,w} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, w(\frac{x_2^2}{w} - x_1^{s-t+1}), x_1^t x_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, (x_2v)^2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_1x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{(x_2v)x_j}, \underset{3\leq i<j\leq h}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, (x_2v)^2 - x_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t(x_2v)).$$

We consider the following change of variables

$$y_j := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } j \neq 2, \\ vx_j & \text{if } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \ldots, y_h)$ and further

$$I_{r,w} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{t-1,1}).$$

This proves the result. ☐

We can also understand the isomorphism class of the ideal $I_{r,w}$ in the case r is general enough. More precisely we have the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $r \geq 0$ *be an integer such that* $2(r+1) \neq s-t+1$. *Then* $I_{r,w} \sim I_{r,1}$.

Proof. Let $n := 2(r+1) - (s-t+1)$ and choose a power series $e \in R$ such that

$$\begin{cases} e^n = \frac{1}{w} & \text{if } n > 0 \\ e^{-n} = w & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

In both cases we have $e^n w = 1$. Further let us choose an element $\tau \in R$ such that $\tau^2 = e^s$. It is clear that both e and τ are not in $\mathfrak{n}$. We have

$$I_{r,w} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t x_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{3\leq j\leq h}{ex_1(\tau x_j)}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{(e^{r+1}x_2)(\tau x_j)}, \underset{3\leq i<j\leq h}{\tau^2 x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq i<j\leq h}{\tau^2(x_j^2 - x_1^s)}, e^{2r+1}(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}), e^{t+r+1}x_1^t x_2),$$

Now we remark that

$$\tau^2(x_j^2 - x_1^s) = (\tau x_j)^2 - (ex_1)^s, \qquad e^{t+r+1}x_1^t x_2 = (ex_1)^t(e^{r+1}x_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(e^{r+1}x_2)^2 - (ex_1)^{r+1}(e^{r+1}x_2) - (ex_1)^{s-t+1} &= e^{2(r+1)}x_2^2 - e^{2(r+1)}x_1^{r+1}x_2 - e^{s-t+1}x_1^{s-t+1} = \\ &= e^{2(r+1)}(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}) + we^{2(r+1)}x_1^{s-t+1} - e^{s-t+1}x_1^{s-t+1} = \\ &= e^{2(r+1)}(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}) + x_1^{s-t+1}(we^{2(r+1)} - e^{s-t+1}) = \\ &= e^{2(r+1)}(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}) + e^{s-t+1}x_1^{s-t+1}(we^n - 1) = \\ &= e^{2(r+1)}(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - wx_1^{s-t+1}).\end{aligned}$$

We let

$$y_j := \begin{cases} ex_1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ e^{r+1}x_2 & \text{if } j = 2 \\ \tau x_j & \text{if } j = 3, \ldots, h. \end{cases}$$

It is then clear that $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \ldots, y_h)$ and

$$I_{r,w} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1}y_2 - y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,1}).$$

The result is proved.

$\square$

From the above result it becomes relevant the following notation. We say that the couple (s,t) is **regular** if it does not exist an integer r with the properties $0 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$. It is easy to see that the couple (s,t) is not regular if and only if $s-t$ is odd and $s \leq 3t-3$.

For a regular couple (s,t) we have at most t isomorphism classes with models the ideals $I_{0,1}, I_{1,1}, \ldots, I_{t-1,1}$.

Unfortunately it is not true, even in the case of two variables, that for a regular couple (s,t) the above models are pairwise non isomorphic. At the end of the paper we will show that if $h=2$ and we consider the couple $s=5$, $t=3$, which corresponds to the Hilbert Function $1,2,2,1,1,1$, then the couple $(5,3)$ is regular but

$$I_{1,1} = (y^2 - x^2y - x^3, x^3y) \simeq I_{2,1} = (y^2 - x^3, x^3y).$$

In the above example we have $s < 2t$. Namely we will prove that, if $s \geq 2t$, then the ideals $I_{0,1}, I_{1,1}, \ldots, I_{t-1,1}$ are pairwise non isomorphic.

We need now to study the ideals $I_{r,w}$, where $2(r+1) = s-t+1$ and w is an invertible power series. We recall that, by Proposition 2.3, when $s \geq 2t-1$, we can further assume that $w \in k[[x_1]] \setminus (x_1)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer such that $2(r+1) = s-t+1$. Further let $w = \sum_{i \geq 0} w_i x_1^i$ be an invertible power series in $k[[x_1]]$ such that $w \neq w_0$. If d is the order of $w - w_0$, then $I_{r,w} \sim I_{r,w_0+x_1^d}$.*

Proof. We have $w = w_0 + w_d x_1^d + \ldots$ with $w_d \in k^*$, so that we can find a power series $\alpha \in k[[x_1]]$ such that $\alpha^d = \sum_{n \geq d} w_n x_1^{n-d}$. Hence α is invertible and $\alpha^d x_1^d = w - w_0$. As a consequence we can find an invertible power series $\beta \in k[[x_1]]$ such that $\beta^2 = \alpha^s$. Let us consider the following change of variables

$$y_j := \begin{cases} \alpha x_1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \alpha^{r+1} x_2 & \text{if } j = 2 \\ \beta x_j & \text{if } j = 3, \ldots, h. \end{cases}$$

For every $j \geq 3$ we have

$$\alpha^s (x_j^2 - x_1^s) = (\beta x_j)^2 - (\alpha x_1)^s = y_j^2 - y_1^s;$$

further

$$\alpha^{t+r+1} x_1^t x_2 = (\alpha x_1)^t (\alpha^{r+1} x_2) = y_1^t y_2$$

and

$$y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1} y_2 - (w_0 + y_1^d) y_1^{s-t+1} = x_2^2 \alpha^{2(r+1)} - x_1^{r+1} \alpha^{r+1} x_2 \alpha^{r+1} - (w_0 + y_1^d) x_1^{2(r+1)} \alpha^{2(r+1)} =$$

$$= \alpha^{2(r+1)} (x_2^2 - x_2 x_1^{r+1} - w x_1^{2(r+1)})$$

where the last equality follows because

$$y_1^d = \alpha^d x_1^d = w - w_0.$$

As a consequence we get that the ideal $I_{r,w}$ coincides with the ideal

$$(\underset{3\le j\le h}{\alpha x_1\beta x_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{\alpha^{r+1}x_2\beta x_j}, \underset{3\le i<p\le h}{\beta x_i\beta x_p}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{\alpha^s(x_j^2-x_1^s)}, \alpha^{2(r+1)}(x_2^2-x_2x_1^{r+1}-wx_1^{2(r+1)}), \alpha^{t+r+1}x_1^tx_2) =$$

$$= (\underset{3\le j\le h}{y_1y_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{y_2y_j}, \underset{3\le i<p\le h}{y_iy_p}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{y_j^2-y_1^s}, y_2^2-y_2y_1^{r+1}-(w_0+y_1^d)y_1^{2(r+1)}, y_1^ty_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w_0+x_1^d}).$$

This gives the conclusion. □

We can now improve the last result in the case $d \ge t-r-1$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $r \ge 0$ and d an integer such that $d \ge t-r-1$. Then for every $c \in k^*$ we have $I_{r,c+x_1^d} \sim I_{r,c}$.*

Proof. For simplicity we let $\eta := c + x_1^d$. Let α be a power series such that $\alpha^2 = \frac{c}{\eta}$. We must have $\alpha_0^2 = 1$ so that we may choose α with the properties $\eta\alpha^2 = c$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$. We have

$$(\alpha x_2)^2 - (\alpha x_2)x_1^{r+1} - cx_1^{s-t+1} =$$
$$= \alpha^2(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - \eta x_1^{s-t+1}) + \alpha^2 x_2x_1^{r+1} + \alpha^2\eta x_1^{s-t+1} - \alpha x_2x_1^{r+1} - cx_1^{s-t+1} =$$
$$= \alpha^2(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - \eta x_1^{s-t+1}) + \alpha x_2x_1^{r+1}(\alpha - 1).$$

Now we have

$$(\alpha-1)(\alpha+1) = \alpha^2 - 1 = \frac{c}{\eta} - 1 = -\frac{x_1^d}{\eta} \in (x_1^{t-r-1})$$

because $d \ge t-r-1$. Further, since $\alpha_0 = 1$, the power series $\alpha + 1$ is invertible. This implies $\alpha - 1 \in (x_1^{t-r-1})$. It follows that for suitable $\beta \in R$ we have

$$(\alpha x_2)^2 - (\alpha x_2)x_1^{r+1} - cx_1^{s-t+1} = \alpha^2(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - \eta x_1^{s-t+1}) + \beta x_1^tx_2.$$

Thus we get

$$I_{r,\eta} = (\underset{3\le j\le h}{x_1x_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{\alpha x_2x_j}, \underset{3\le i<j\le h}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, \alpha^2(x_2^2 - x_1^{r+1}x_2 - \eta x_1^{s-t+1}), x_1^t(\alpha x_2)) =$$

$$= (\underset{3\le j\le h}{x_1x_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{\alpha x_2x_j}, \underset{3\le i<j\le h}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, (\alpha x_2)^2 - (\alpha x_2)x_1^{r+1} - cx_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^t(\alpha x_2)).$$

We change the variables as follows

$$y_j := \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } j \neq 2, \\ \alpha x_2 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases}$$

and we get

$$I_{r,\eta} = (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_iy_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1}y_2 - cy_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^ty_2) = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,c}).$$

The conclusion follows. □

Collecting the results of this section, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let I be an ideal in $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$ such that R/I is almost stretched and Gorenstein of type (s,t) with $s \geq 2t-1$.*

If (s,t) is regular, then I is isomorphic to one of the following ideals:

$$I_{0,1},\ I_{1,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1}$$

If (s,t) is not regular and r is the integer such that $2(r+1) = s-t+1$, then I is isomorphic to one of the following ideals:

$$I_{0,1}, \dots, I_{r-1,1}, \{I_{r,c}\}_{c\in k^*}, \{I_{r,c+x_1}\}_{c\in k^*}, \dots, \{I_{r,c+x_1^{t-r-2}}\}_{c\in k^*}, I_{r+1,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1},$$

with the meaning that, if $r = t-2$, then the list of the possible models is

$$I_{0,1}, \dots, I_{r-1,1}, \{I_{r,c}\}_{c\in k^*}, I_{r+1,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1}.$$

3 Non isomorphic models.

We are going now to prove that if $s \geq 2t$ and however we choose $w_0, \dots, w_{t-1}$ in $R \setminus \mathfrak{n}$, two ideals in the following list are never isomorphic:

$$I_{0,w_0}, I_{1,w_1}, \dots, I_{t-1,w_{t-1}}.$$

We will need frequently in this section the following result proved in [2], Lemma 4.5.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_h$ be a minimal system of generators of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of R and let I be the ideal

$$I := (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{v_iv_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{v_j^2 - v_1^s}, v_2^2 - av_1v_2 - uv_1^{s-t+1}, v_1^tv_2)$$

with $a \in R$ and $u \in R \setminus \mathfrak{n}$. The elements $\overline{v_1}^j, \overline{v_1}^{j-1}\overline{v_2} \in R/I$ form a minimal basis of the ideal $(\mathfrak{n}/I)^j$ in the case $2 \leq j \leq t$, while in the case $t+1 \leq j \leq s$ the ideal $(\mathfrak{n}/I)^j$ is a principal ideal generated by $\overline{v_1}^j$. Further $\mathfrak{n}^{s+1} \subseteq I$.

Of course this means that

$$\begin{cases} av_1^j + bv_1{}^{j-1}v_2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} \Longrightarrow a, b \in \mathfrak{n} & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq t\ , \\ av_1^j \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} \Longrightarrow a \in \mathfrak{n} & \text{if } t+1 \leq j \leq s. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Because of its relevance, it is perhaps useful to recall also the following notation already introduced in Section 2. If $p \geq 0$ is an integer and z an invertible power series, we let

$$I_{p,z} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_ix_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^{p+1}x_2 - zx_1^{s-t+1}, x_1^tx_2).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $I := I_{p,z}$ and $l := \sum_{i=1}^{h} a_i x_i$, with $a_i \in R$. If $s \geq t+2$ and $l^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^3$, then $a_1 \in \mathfrak{n}$. If $p = 0$, then $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$.*

Proof. We denote by $\cong$ congruence modulo the ideal $I + \mathfrak{n}^3$. We have

$$0 \cong l^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{h} a_j^2 x_j^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq h} a_i a_j x_i x_j \cong \sum_{j=1}^{h} a_j^2 x_j^2 + 2 a_1 a_2 x_1 x_2.$$

Since $s \geq t+2$, we get $s - t + 1 \geq 3$ so that $x_2^2 \cong x_1^{p+1} x_2$. Further, for $j \geq 3$ we have $x_j^2 \cong 0$, because $x_j^2 \cong x_1^s$ and $s \geq 3$.

Hence

$$a_1^2 x_1^2 + a_2^2 x_1^{p+1} x_2 + 2 a_1 a_2 x_1 x_2 = a_1^2 x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 (a_2^2 x_1^p + 2 a_1 a_2) \cong 0.$$

Now, if $p > 0$, then $a_1^2 x_1^2 + 2 a_1 a_2 x_1 x_2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^3$; this implies $a_1^2 \in \mathfrak{n}$ and finally $a_1 \in \mathfrak{n}$.

If instead $p = 0$, then

$$a_1^2 x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 (a_2^2 + 2 a_1 a_2) \in I + \mathfrak{n}^3$$

which implies $a_1^2, a_2^2 + 2 a_1 a_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$ and thus $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$.

□

As a consequence of this lemma, we can prove that in the case $s \geq t+2$ and however we choose the units z and w in R, the ideal $I_{0,w}$ is not isomorphic to $I_{p,z}$ when $p > 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \geq t+2$ and $p \geq 1$. However we choose the units z and w in R, we have $I_{0,w} \not\simeq I_{p,z}$.*

Proof. By contradiction let us assume that $I_{0,w} = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{p,z})$, where $(y_1, \ldots, y_h) = \mathfrak{n}$. This means that

$$I := I_{0,w} = (\underset{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq h \\ (i,j) \neq (1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{3 \leq j \leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{p+1} y_2 - z(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2).$$

We have $p \geq 1$ and $s \geq t+2$ so that $p+2 \geq 3$ and $s - t + 1 \geq 3$. This implies $y_2^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^3$. Further, for every $j \geq 3$, $y_j^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^3$, because $s \geq 3$. By the above Lemma, we get for every $j \geq 2$,

$$y_j = \sum_{i=3}^{h} c_{ji} x_i + d_j$$

where $d_j \in \mathfrak{n}^2$. Then we get

$$I + \mathfrak{n}^3 = (\underset{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq h \\ (i,j) \neq (1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{2 \leq j \leq h}{y_j^2}) + \mathfrak{n}^3 \subseteq (y_1 y_3, \ldots, y_1 y_h, \underset{3 \leq i \leq j \leq h}{x_i x_j}) + \mathfrak{n}^3.$$

Let us consider the $R/\mathfrak{n}$-vector spaces

$$V := (I+\mathfrak{n}^3)/\mathfrak{n}^3 \qquad W := [(y_1y_3,\dots,y_1y_h, \underset{3\le i\le j\le h}{x_ix_j}) + \mathfrak{n}^3]/\mathfrak{n}^3.$$

We have

$$\dim_{R/\mathfrak{n}} V \le \dim_{R/\mathfrak{n}} W \le h-2+\binom{h-2+2-1}{2} = \binom{h}{2} - 1.$$

On the other hand $\mathfrak{n}^3 \subseteq I+\mathfrak{n}^3 \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \subseteq R$ so that $\dim(I+\mathfrak{n}^3)/\mathfrak{n}^3 = \binom{h+1}{2} - 2$. Since $h \ge 2$ we get a contradiction. $\square$

We are going now to prove that if $s \ge 2t$ the ideals $I_{1,w_1}, I_{2,w_2},\dots,I_{t-1,w_{t-1}}$ are pairwise non isomorphic. In order to do that, we need some preparatory result.

Lemma 3.3. *Every monomial of degree* $t+1$ *in the variables* $x_1,\dots,x_h$ *is in* $I_{p,z}+\mathfrak{n}^s$*, except possibly for* x_1^{t+1}*.*

Proof. Let $I := I_{p,z}$. Since $x_ix_j \in I$ if $i<j$ and $(i,j)\ne(1,2)$, and $x_j^2 \in I+\mathfrak{n}^s$ if $3 \le j \le h$, we need only to consider monomials in x_1, x_2. Now we have $x_1^t x_2 \in I$ and then we can use descending induction to prove the result. So let $0 \le j \le t-1$ and $x_1^{j+1}x_2^{t-j} \in I+\mathfrak{n}^s$; we need to prove that $x_1^j x_2^{t+1-j} \in I+\mathfrak{n}^s$. We denote by $\cong$ congruence modulo the ideal $I+\mathfrak{n}^s$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^j x_2^{t+1-j} &= x_1^j x_2^{t-1-j} x_2^2 \\ &\cong x_1^j x_2^{t-1-j}(x_1^{p+1}x_2 + z x_1^{s-t+1}) \\ &= x_1^{j+p+1}x_2^{t-j} + z x_1^{s-t+j+1} x_2^{t-j-1} \\ &\cong 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $x_1^{s-t+j+1}x_2^{t-j-1} \in \mathfrak{n}^s$ and, by induction, $x_1^{j+1}x_2^{t-j} \in I+\mathfrak{n}^s$. The conclusion follows. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $s \ge t+2$, $r \ge 1$ *and* $I_{p,z} = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w})$ *where* $(y_1,\dots,y_h) = \mathfrak{n}$*. Then we can write* $y_2 = c x_1^{s-t} + d$*, with* $c \in R$ *and* $d \in (x_2,\dots,x_h)$*.*

Proof. We let $I := I_{p,z}$; hence

$$I = (\underset{\substack{1\le i<j\le h\\(i,j)\ne(1,2)}}{y_iy_j}, \underset{3\le j\le h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1}y_2 - w(\underline{y})y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2).$$

For every $j \ge 3$ we have $y_j^2 \in I+\mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I+\mathfrak{n}^3$, because $s \ge 3$. Further $y_2^2 \in I+\mathfrak{n}^{r+2}+\mathfrak{n}^{s-t+1} \subseteq I+\mathfrak{n}^3$, because $r \ge 1$ and $s \ge t+2$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^h a_{ji}x_i$ with $a_{j1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ for every $j \ge 2$.

Since $y_1, \dots, y_h$ is a minimal system of generators for $\mathfrak{n}$, we must have $y_1 = ex_1 + f$ with $e \notin \mathfrak{n}$ and $f \in (x_2, \dots, x_h)$. We can also write $y_2 = ax_1^2 + b$ with $a \in R$ and $b \in (x_2, \dots, x_h)$. Now, if $s = t + 2$ we are done. Let $s \geq t + 3$ and by induction let $y_2 = ax_1^j + b$ with $b \in (x_2, \dots, x_h)$ and $2 \leq j \leq s - t - 1$. We claim that $a \in \mathfrak{n}$ and remark that this would imply the lemma.

We have $y_1^t y_2 = (ex_1 + f)^t (ax_1^j + b) \in I$. By the above lemma we get $e^t a x_1^{t+j} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s$ and since e is a unit, $ax_1^{t+j} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s$. Now, if also a is a unit, we would get $x_1^{t+j} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s$ so that $x_1^{t+j+1} \in I$. Since $s \geq t + j + 1$, this implies $x_1^s \in I$, a contradiction. $\square$

We can prove now the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $s \geq 2t$ and $1 \leq r \leq t - 2$. If $p > r$ and however we choose $z, w \notin \mathfrak{n}$, the ideals $I_{p,z}$ and $I_{r,w}$ are never isomorphic.*

Proof. Let us assume by contradiction that $I := I_{p,z} = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w})$ where $(y_1, \dots, y_h\} = \mathfrak{n}$. Then we have

$$I = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_i y_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1} y_2 - w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^t y_2).$$

By Lemma 3.4 we have $y_2 = cx_1^{s-t} + d$ with $c \in R$ and $d \in (x_2, \dots, x_h)$, so that

$$y_2^2 = c^2 x_1^{2(s-t)} + 2cdx_1^{s-t} + d^2.$$

Since $s \geq 2t$, we have $s - t \geq t$ which implies $dx_1^{s-t} \in I$. Since $t \geq r + 2$, we get also

$$2(s-t) \geq 2t \geq 2(r+2) \geq r + 3$$

which implies $x_1^{2(s-t)} \in \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$. Finally, since $d \in (x_2, \dots, x_h)$ and $x_j^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s$ for every $j \geq 3$, we get

$$d^2 \in (x_2^2, \dots, x_h^2, \underset{2\leq i<j\leq h}{x_i x_j}) \subseteq (x_2^2) + I + \mathfrak{n}^s.$$

Now we remark that $x_2^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{p+2} + \mathfrak{n}^{s-t+1}$; since $s \geq 2t$, $t + 1 \geq r + 3$ and $p \geq r + 1$, this implies $x_2^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$. Thus we get $d^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3} + \mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$ because $r + 3 \leq t + 1 \leq s$.

Putting all toghether, we get $y_2^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$; from this we get

$$y_1^{r+1} y_2 + w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$$

which implies $y_1^{r+1} y_2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+3}$ because $s - t + 1 \geq r + 3$. This is a contradiction because $r + 2 \leq t$. $\square$

4 The case (s,t) is not regular

In this section we are dealing with the case when there exist an integer r such that $0 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$. If this is the case, we say that the couple (s,t) is not regular. We have seen in Section 2 that when (s,t) is not regular we have the sporadic models $I_{0,1}, I_{1,1}, \ldots, I_{r-1,1}, I_{r+1,1} \ldots, I_{t-1,1}$ and the one dimensional families $\{I_{r,c}\}_{c \in k^*}, \{I_{r,c+x_1}\}_{c \in k^*}, \ldots, \{I_{r,c+x_1^{t-r-2}}\}_{c \in k^*}$.

We are going to prove that if $s \geq 2t$ all the above ideals are pairwise non isomorphic. We first remark that, if $s \geq 2t$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$, then $2(r+1) \geq t+1$ so that $2r \geq t-1 \geq 1$. Hence in this section the integer r is a positive integer such that $1 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$.

We need the following easy remarks concerning properties of the ideal $I_{r,w}$ when $2(r+1) = s-t+1$ and $s \geq 2t$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $s \geq 2t$, $1 \leq r \leq t-2$, $2(r+1) = s-t+1$ *and* w *a unit in* R. *Further let* $\cong$ *denote congruence modulo the ideal* $I_{r,w} + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$.

a) Every monomial of degree $r+2$ *in the variables* $x_1, \ldots, x_h$ *is in* $I_{r,w} + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$, *except possibly for* $x_1^{r+2}, x_1^{r+1}x_2$.

b) $\left(\sum_{i=1}^h a_i x_i\right)^{r+1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^h b_i x_i\right) \cong a_1^{r+1} x_1^{r+1} b_2 x_2$.

c) $\left(\sum_{i=2}^h b_i x_i\right)^2 \cong b_2^2 x_2^2$.

d) $\left(\sum_{i=1}^h a_i x_i\right)^{2(r+1)} \cong a_1^{2(r+1)} x_1^{2(r+1)}$.

e) If $a x_1^{r+1} x_2 + b x_1^{s-t+1} \cong 0$, *then* $a \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$ *and* $b \in (x_1^r) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$.

Proof. For simplicity we denote by I the ideal $I_{r,w}$. First we prove a). If $j \geq 3$ we have $x_j^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$ because, since $t \geq r+1$, we have $s = 2(r+1)+t-1 \geq 3r+2$. On the other hand, $x_i x_j \in I$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq h$ and $(i,j) \neq (1,2)$. Hence we need only to consider the monomials $x_1^r x_2^2, x_1^{r-1} x_2^3, \ldots, x_2^{r+2}$. For every $j = 0, \ldots, r$ we have

$$x_1^{r-j} x_2^{j+2} \cong x_1^{r-j} x_2^j (x_1^{r+1} x_2 + w x_1^{s-t+1}) = x_1^{2r+1-j} x_2^{j+1} + w x_1^{s-t+1+r-j} x_2^j \cong 0$$

because the second addendum is a monomial of degree $s-t+1+r = 3r+2$, the first is a monomial of degree $2(r+1) = s-t+1 \geq 2t-t+1 = t+1$ and as such, by Lemma 3.3, is in $\mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$.

It is clear that b) is an easy consequence of a), while c) is trivial. So, let us prove d). We have $2(r+1) = s-t+1 \geq t+1$, hence, by Lemma 3.3, all the addenda in $\left(\sum_{i=1}^h a_i x_i\right)^{2(r+1)}$, except possibly for $x_1^{2(r+1)}$, are in $I + \mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$.

We finally prove e). Let's write $a = c x_1^j + d$ with $d \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$ and $0 \leq j \leq t-r-2$. Then we have

$$(c x_1^j + d) x_1^{r+1} x_2 + b x_1^{s-t+1} = c x_1^{r+1+j} x_2 + d x_1^{r+1} x_2 + b x_1^{s-t+1} \cong 0.$$

Now, by part a), we have

$$dx_1^{r+1}x_2 = (d_2x_2 + \cdots + d_hx_h)x_1^{r+1}x_2 \cong d_2x_1^{r+1}x_2^2 \cong 0. \tag{2}$$

From this it follows that

$$cx_1^{r+1+j}x_2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2} + \mathfrak{n}^{s-t+1} \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+j+3}$$

because

$$r + j + 3 \leq r + t - r - 2 + 3 = t + 1 \leq s - t + 1 = 2r + 2 \leq 3r + 2.$$

Since $r + j + 2 \leq t$, by (1) this implies $c \in \mathfrak{n}$, so that we can write $a = cx_1^{j+1} + d$ with $d \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$. Going on in this way, clearly we get the conclusion $a \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$.

This enables us to write $a = fx_1^{t-r-1} + g$ with $g \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$. Then we have

$$ax_1^{r+1}x_2 = (fx_1^{t-r-1} + g)x_1^{r+1}x_2 = fx_1^tx_2 + x_1^{r+1}x_2g \cong 0,$$

because, as in (2), $x_1^{r+1}x_2g \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$. The assumption becames now $bx_1^{s-t+1} \cong 0$.

Let's write $b = hx_1^j + k$ with $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $k \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$. Since $s-t+1 \geq t$, we have $kx_1^{s-t+1} \in I$, which implies

$$0 \cong bx_1^{s-t+1} \cong (hx_1^j + k)x_1^{s-t+1} \cong hx_1^{s-t+1+j}.$$

This means $hx_1^{s-t+1+j} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$; now, since $r \geq j + 1$, we have

$$3r + 2 \geq 2r + j + 3 = (s - t + 1 + j) + 1.$$

Hence $hx_1^{s-t+1+j} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2} \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^{(s-t+1+j)+1}$. Since $s-t+1+j \leq s-t+1+r-1 = s-t+r \leq s$, by (1) this implies $h \in \mathfrak{n}$. As before, going on in this way, we get the conclusion $b \in (x_1^r) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$. □

We are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (s, t) be a non regular couple and r the integer such that $1 \leq r \leq t-2$ and $2(r+1) = s-t+1$. If $s \geq 2t$ and $I_{r,z} = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w})$ with $(y_1, \ldots, y_h) = \mathfrak{n}$, then $z - w(\underline{y}) \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$.*

Proof. We have

$$I := I_{r,z} = \varphi_{\underline{y}}(I_{r,w}) = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h \\ (i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{y_iy_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{y_j^2 - y_1^s}, y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1}y_2 - w(\underline{y})y_1^{s-t+1}, y_1^ty_2).$$

By Lemma 3.4 we have $y_2 = ex_1^{s-t} + f$ with $f \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$. On the other hand, for every $j = 1, \ldots, h$ we have $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^h a_{ji}x_i$; since $\mathfrak{n} = (y_1, \ldots, y_h)$, the determinant of the matrix (a_{ij}) must be a unit in R.

Now we have $y_2^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{r+2} + \mathfrak{n}^{s-t+1} \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^3$ because, since $r \geq 1$, we have $r+2 \geq 3$ and $s-t+1 = 2r+2 \geq 4$. Also, for every $j \geq 3$, we have $y_j^2 \in I + \mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq I + \mathfrak{n}^3$, because $s \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $a_{j1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ for every $j \geq 2$, so that $a_{11} \notin \mathfrak{n}$. Hence, we can simply write:

$$y_1 = ax_1 + f, \quad a \notin \mathfrak{n}, \quad f \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h).$$

We have

$$y_2^2 - y_1^{r+1} y_2 - w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1} = (ex_1^{s-t} + f)^2 - y_1^{r+1}(ex_1^{s-t} + f) - w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1} =$$

$$= e^2 x_1^{2(s-t)} + 2ef x_1^{s-t} + f^2 - e y_1^{r+1} x_1^{s-t} - y_1^{r+1} f - w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1} \in I.$$

Now

$$2(s-t) = 2(s-t+1) - 2 = 4(r+1) - 2 = 4r + 2 \geq 3r + 2,$$

so that $x_1^{2(s-t)} \in \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$. Also, since $s - t \geq t$ and $f \in (x_2, \ldots, x_h)$, we have $f x_1^{s-t} \in I$. Finally $s - t + r + 1 = 2r + 2 + r = 3r + 2$, so that $y_1^{r+1} x_1^{s-t} \in \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$. This implies that

$$f^2 - y_1^{r+1} f - w(\underline{y}) y_1^{s-t+1} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}.$$

Let's write $f = \sum_{j \geq 2} b_j x_j$; using b) in the above Lemma we get

$$y_1^{r+1} f \cong a^{r+1} x_1^{r+1} b_2 x_2 \qquad \text{mod } I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}.$$

Using c) and d) we further get

$$y_1^{s-t+1} = y_1^{2(r+1)} \cong a^{2(r+1)} x_1^{2(r+1)} \qquad \text{mod } I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2},$$

$$f^2 \cong b_2^2 x_2^2 \qquad \text{mod } I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}.$$

It follows that

$$b_2^2 x_2^2 - a^{r+1} x_1^{r+1} b_2 x_2 - w(\underline{y}) a^{2(r+1)} x_1^{2(r+1)} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}.$$

From this we get

$$b_2^2 (x_1^{r+1} x_2 + z x_1^{s-t+1}) - a^{r+1} x_1^{r+1} b_2 x_2 - w(\underline{y}) a^{2(r+1)} x_1^{2(r+1)} \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}$$

and finally

$$x_1^{r+1} x_2 (b_2^2 - b_2 a^{r+1}) + x_1^{s-t+1} (z b_2^2 - w(\underline{y}) a^{2(r+1)}) \in I + \mathfrak{n}^{3r+2}.$$

By e) in the above Lemma, this implies

$$\begin{cases} b_2^2 - b_2 a^{r+1} \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h) \\ z b_2^2 - w(\underline{y}) a^{2(r+1)} \in (x_1^r) + (x_2, \ldots, x_h). \end{cases}$$

Now, if b_2 would be in $\mathfrak{n}$, then $w(\underline{y})a^{2(r+1)} \in \mathfrak{n}$, a contradiction because $a, w(\underline{y}) \notin \mathfrak{n}$. Hence $b_2 \notin \mathfrak{n}$, so that

$$\begin{cases} b_2 - a^{r+1} \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \dots, x_h) \\ z(b_2 - a^{r+1})(b_2 + a^{r+1}) + a^{2(r+1)}(z - w(\underline{y})) \in (x_1^r) + (x_2, \dots, x_h), \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$z - w(\underline{y}) \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_1^r) + (x_2, \dots, x_h).$$

Since $2r + 1 = s - t \geq t$, we have $r \geq t - r - 1$ and we get

$$z - w(\underline{y}) \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \dots, x_h),$$

as wanted. □

We are ready now to state and prove the classification result for Gorenstein almost stretched Artinian algebras of type (s, t) with the assumption $s \geq 2t$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let I be an ideal in $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$ such that R/I is almost stretched and Gorenstein of type (s, t) with $s \geq 2t$.*

If (s, t) is regular, then I is isomorphic to one and only one of the following ideals:

$$I_{0,1},\ I_{1,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1}$$

If (s, t) is not regular and r is the integer such that $2(r + 1) = s - t + 1$, then I is isomorphic to one and only one of the following ideals:

$$I_{0,1}, \dots, I_{r-1,1}, \{I_{r,c}\}_{c\in k^*}, \{I_{r,c+x_1}\}_{c\in k^*}, \dots, \{I_{r,c+x_1^{t-r-2}}\}_{c\in k^*}, I_{r+1,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.8 we need only to prove the "only one" part of the statements. By Proposition 3.2, the ideal $I_{0,1}$ is not isomorphic to any of the other ideals. By Theorem 3.5, the ideals $I_{1,1},\ I_{2,1}, \dots, I_{t-1,1}$ are pairwise non isomorphic. This proves the result in the case the couple (s, t) is regular.

Let us assume now that (s, t) is not regular and let r be the integer such that $1 \leq r \leq t - 2$ and $2(r + 1) = s - t + 1$. By Theorem 3.5, we need only to prove that however we choose two ideals in the list $\{I_{r,c}\}_{c\in k^*}, \{I_{r,c+x_1}\}_{c\in k^*}, \dots, \{I_{r,c+x_1^{t-r-2}}\}_{c\in k^*}$, they are never isomorphic.

Let $z := c + x_1^i$, $w := d + x_1^j$ with $c, d \in k^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq t - r - 2$. By contradiction, let us assume that $I_{r,z} \simeq I_{r,w}$; this means that $I_{r,z} = \varphi_{\overline{y}}(I_{r,w})$ with $(y_1, \dots, y_h) = \mathfrak{n}$. By Theorem 4.2 we get

$$z - w(\overline{y}) = c + x_1^i - (d + y_1^j) \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \dots, x_h).$$

Since $t \geq r + 2$, we get $c - d \in \mathfrak{n}$ which implies $c = d$, because $c, d \in k^*$. Thus we get $x_1^i - y_1^j \in (x_1^{t-r-1}) + (x_2, \dots, x_h)$ which implies $i = j$ because $i \leq j \leq t - r - 2$. Hence $z = w$ as required.

In the same way we can prove that $I_{r,c} \simeq I_{r,d}$ implies $c = d$ and $I_{r,c} \simeq I_{r,d+x_1^j}$ implies $j = 0$. The conclusion follows also in the case (s, t) is not a regular couple. □

5 Examples and remarks

1. Let us look at the Hilbert function $\{1, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$; this is of type $s = 8, t = 4$ with $h = 3$. Since $s - t + 1 = 5$ the couple $(8, 4)$ is regular. The isomorphism classes are represented by the following ideals

$$(x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^8, x_2^2 - x_1^{n+1}x_2 - x_1^5, x_1^4y)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Hence we have a finite number of isomorphism classes.

2. If we consider the Hilbert function $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, \}$ then $h = 2$, $t = 3$ and $s = 6 = 2t$. We have $s - t + 1 = 4 = 2(1 + 1)$ so that the couple $(6, 3)$ is not regular. The isomorphism classes are represented by the following ideals

$$I_{0,1} = (y^2 - xy - x^4, x^3y), \quad I_{2,1} = (y^2 - x^3y - x^4, x^3y)$$

and

$$\{I_{1,c}\}_{c \in k^*} = \{(y^2 - x^2y - cx^4, x^3y)\}_{c \in k^*}.$$

This example has been studied in [2] with different methods. It is the first case where an infinite number of isomorphism classes arises, namely two sporadic models plus a one dimensional family. The understanding of this difficult example was the motivation of this work. Notice that the length is

3. We can produce examples where there are several one dimensional families of models. Take the Hilbert function $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$; then $h = 2$, $t = 5$, $s = 10$ and the couple $(10, 5)$ is not regular. The isomorphism classes are represented by the following ideals:

$$\begin{cases} (y^2 - x^{r+1}y - x^6, x^5y) & \text{for} \quad r = 0, 1, 3, 4 \\ (y^2 - x^3y - cx^6, x^5y) & \text{for} \quad c \in k^* \\ (y^2 - x^3y - cx^6 - x^7, x^5y) & \text{for} \quad c \in k^*. \end{cases}$$

4. The above description of the isomorphism classes of almost stretched Gorenstein algebras with a given Hilbert function is no more available if we do not assume $s \geq 2t$. For example let $t = 3$, $s = 5$ and $h = 2$, corresponding to the Hilbert function $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1\}$. Then $s = 2t - 1$ and the couple $(5, 3)$ is regular; nevertheless we can prove that $I_{2,1} \sim I_{1,1}$, thus contradicting the conclusion of Theorem 3.5.

We have $I := I_{1,1} = (y^2 - x^2y - x^3, x^3y)$ and $I_{2,1} = (y^2 - x^3, x^3y)$. Let us change the variable as follows:

$$\begin{cases} z = 9x + y \\ w = -27y + xy + 9x^2. \end{cases}$$

We have $\mathfrak{n} = (z, w)$ and the following congruences mod I hold true:

$$xy^2 \cong x^4, \quad x^2y^2 \cong x^5, \quad y^3 \cong x^5, \quad xy^3 \cong 0, \quad y^4 \cong (x^2y + x^3)^2 \cong 0.$$

From this we get

$$w^3 = (-27y + xy + 9x^2)^3 \simeq (-27)^3 x^5 + 3(-27)^2 9x^5 \simeq 0$$

and

$$w^2 - z^3 = (-27y + xy + 9x^2)^2 - (9x + y)^3 \simeq 0.$$

This proves that $I \supseteq (w^3, w^2 - z^3) = (w^2 - z^3, wz^3)$; by computing the Hilbert function of the last ideal we can see that

$$I = I_{1,1} = (w^3, w^2 - z^3) = \phi_{\{z,w\}}(I_{2,1}),$$

as claimed.

As a consequence we get that the family of ideals I such that R/I is Gorenstein with Hilbert fuction $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1\}$ has two isomorphic classes, those corresponding to the following ideals:

$$(y^2 - xy - x^3, x^3y) \sim (xy, y^4 - x^5),$$

$$(y^3, y^2 - x^3) = (x^3y, y^2 - x^3) \sim (y^2 - x^2y - x^3, x^3y).$$

We need to remark that the isomorphism $(y^2 - xy - x^3, x^3y) \sim (xy, y^4 - x^5)$ comes from the following easy claim:

$$(x - y + xy)(y + x^2 + x^3) \in (y^2 - xy - x^3, x^3y).$$

5. The last remark is dealing with the case $s = t + 1$, which is far away from the basic assumption $s \geq 2t$ which we used in the paper. The couple $(t + 1, t)$ is not regular, the critical value beeing $r = 0$. We are able to prove that every ideals I such that R/I is Gorenstein with Hilbert fuction $\{1, h, 2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1\}$ is isomorphic to one of the following ideals:

$$I_{t-1,1} = (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^2, x_1^t x_2)$$

$$I_n := (\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2 - x_1^n, x_1^{t+1} - x_1^t x_2), \quad n = 3, \ldots, t$$

$$(\underset{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq h\\(i,j)\neq(1,2)}}{x_i x_j}, \underset{3\leq j\leq h}{x_j^2 - x_1^s}, x_2^2, x_1^{t+1} - x_1^t x_2).$$

If $t \geq 4$, we are not able to prove that these ideals are pairwise non isomorphic.

The last two examples show that the problem of the classification up to ismorphism of almost stretched Gorenstein algebras with a given Hilbert function becomes more difficult when $s \leq 2t - 1$. This is the reason why, at the moment, we really need the assumption $s \geq 2t$.

References

[1] M.F. Athiyah and I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley (1969).

[2] J. Elias and G. Valla, *Structure theorems for certain Gorenstein ideals*, Michigan Math. J., **57** (2008), 269–292.

[3] A. Iarrobino, *Hilbert scheme of points: overview of last ten years*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, pp. 297–320.

[4] B. Poonen, *Isomorphism types of commutative algebras of finite rank over an algebraically closed filed.*, Preprint (2007).

[5] M.E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Stretched m-primary ideals*, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie **42** (2001), no. 1, 103–122.

[6] J. D. Sally, *Stretched Gorenstein rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), no. 2, 19–26.